

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,504	07/22/2003	Francisco J. Morales	672P	8088
7.	590 04/20/2005		EXAMINER	
Thomas M. Freiburger P.O. BOX 1026			PATEL, TAJASH D	
Tiburon, CA 94920			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		e	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/625,504	MORALES, FRANCISCO) J.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	.
	Tejash D Patel	3765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.	Julv 2003.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ters, prosecution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	•	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	,	•	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 3765

2. Claims 1-28 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-16 of U. S. Patent No. 6,760,922 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend

the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed invention of a structured shirt having a concealed carry pocket of pending application '504 is substantially similar in scope and structure to the vest as presented US Patent '922.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application, which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,504

Art Unit: 3765

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4993. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

April 12, 2005

TEJASH PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINER